Minutes from Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting August 21

Attendees:
Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumura, Mike Denicola
IBM: Dan Bandera
Oracle: Will Lyons
Payara: Steve Millidge
Red Hat: Mark Little
Tomitribe: David Blevins, Richard Monson-Hafael
Martijn Verburg
Ivar Grimstad

Eclipse: Mike Milinkovich

Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting
The minutes of July 31 Steering Committee meeting and August 2 budget meeting were
approved.
Minutes of August 7 meeting will be reviewed next meeting.

Updates on Oracle contribution
We have made significant progress.   Since the Steering Committee last met Oracle has
internally approved 34 repositories for contribution to Eclipse; we are in the process of
making these contributions now.

After these contributions are completed, the following are the remaining contributions:
● Five more repositories with GlassFish RI related code requiring internal Oracle approval.
●
● Repositories containing Maven tools and scripts
● Doc/web-site/other non-GF code (not required to complete Java EE certification)
●
● TCKs
●
We are actively engaged in completing the TCK license agreement, after which we will move
forward on TCK contributions.

We are nearly ready to begin the Eclipse GlassFish build process.   We will gradually update
Maven build coordinates from current Oracle references to EE4J.

To summarize, we have made significant progress recently with Oracle approvals, and this
will be manifested soon in many new contributions.   We are approaching the point
where Eclipse GlassFish builds can begin.

Budget Meeting Tomorrow

Reviewed plan for budget meeting tomorrow.   Oracle noted it is prepared to make a 3 year
funding commitment.

Code One/ECE Messages
At the last meeting it was suggested Oracle propose Jakarta EE messaging.   The following
draft messages were proposed for discussion.
Announcing Eclipse GlassFish.
GlassFish contributions to Jakarta EE are complete and Eclipse GlassFish is certified as
Java EE 8 compatible [adjust statement based on actual level of completion]. We expect
Eclipse GlassFish sources will become the basis for implementations of Jakarta EE
specifications.
Java EE TCKs are open sourced.
The Java EE 8 Technology Compatibility Kits have been contributed and are available in
Open Source.   We expect these to be the basis for Jakarta EE 8 compatibility tests for
branding of multiple independent implementations of Jakarta EE specifications.
We [Oracle and other WG members] are committed to Jakarta EE.
We [Oracle and other WG members] remain committed to enabling evolution of the Java EE
8 technologies, including evolution of the javax namespace, in Jakarta EE specifications
for cloud native Java. [Hope to identify specific milestone].   We [Oracle and other WG
members] have committed to funding this effort at the Eclipse foundation.
We [Oracle and other WG members] will leverage Jakarta EE.
We [Oracle and other WG members] intend to leverage Jakarta EE technologies and cloud
native Java in its product and service offerings.   [Each WG member may have its own
announcement that will...] leverage Jakarta EE and/or Eclipse GlassFish technology,
and/or will support Java EE 8, Jakarta EE 8 and evolution of Jakarta EE.
With respect to the final two items listed above, Mark Little stated that he felt these
statements were reiterating statements that have already been made some time ago,
and that he would like that either:
● We announce at Code One / ECE that an agreement is in place between Oracle and
Eclipse, that enables evolution of the Java EE technologies, including use of the javax
namespace for evolution of these technologies, or
●
● That we announce a commitment to come to such an agreement by the end of the
calendar year.
This would demonstrate progress towards spec process definition.   Both Oracle and Eclipse
stated they did not believe the first item above was achievable, based on progress
achieved on legal agreements to date.

Given that, statement Mark offered, as had been offered by IBM in the prior meeting, that
Red Hat and IBM would be willing to engage with Oracle management at an executive
level to push for such commitment.   Mark said he believes we would need to
re-evaluate the initiative if we could not make progress towards that year end goal.

It was pointed out that significant progress can be made on the specification process independent of the legal issues discussed above. The example of JNoSQL was cited, where there is no dependency on the legal issues described above.

Will Lyons will consider the Red Hat/IBM offer of escalation and Mike Milinkovich will include discussion of how to make progress on the specification process at the next Spec Committee meeting.

Spec Committee Update
 See comments on Spec Committee actions above.
Marketing Committee Update
August - December Marketing plan distributed by Thabang Mashologu on August 18.

Oracle is eager to participate in EclipseCon Europe. Following up between Eclipse and Oracle.
PMC Update
No significant update for this group.
Recruitment of new members; Elections
Note discussed.

Open issue - will there be an additional PMC representative on the Steering Committee.
Planned Jakarta EE certifications
Not discussed

What other app servers, besides GlassFish can we expect on Jakarta EE 8 - get input from Steering Committee.
Wildfly - name clarification needed from RedHat ?
IBM Liberty
Fujitsu
Payara - ?
Weblogic - ?
TomiTribe - ?
Legal Documents
 Making progress on TCK license agreeement per above.